

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/776,136	02/11/2004	Ricky D. McGee		5446	
31083 7	/590 11/01/2005		EXAM	INER	
THOMTE, MAZOUR & NIEBERGALL, L.L.C.			BOECKMAN	BOECKMANN, JASON J	
2120 S. 72ND OMAHA, NE	STREET, SUITE 1111 68124		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3752 .		
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/01/2000	DATE MAIL ED: 11/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/776,136	MCGEE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jason J. Boeckmann	3752		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. tely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b>_</b>			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02/11/2004 is/are: a)☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	] accepted or b)⊠ objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/02/2004.  S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)	
o, ration and fragolitain office				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the plurality of spaced apart drive towers of claim 1, line 6, claim 4, lines 7-8, claim 7, lines 3-4, claim 12, line 8 and claim 14, lines 3-4 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,136 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davis (5,435495).

Davis shows a center pivot irrigation system including a pivot support assembly (14) including an upstanding pipe (10) connected to a water source (12), a horizontally extending pipe portion (36) fluidly connected at the upper end of the upstanding pipe (10), an elongated irrigation pipeline (16) having inner (34) and outer ends, supported upon a plurality of spaced apart drive towers with the inner end of the pipeline (34) being fluidly connected to the horizontally extending pipe (36). The inner end of the pipeline (34) can be disconnected from the horizontally extending pipe (36) while maintaining the inner end of the pipeline (34) in alignment with the horizontally extending pipe (36) of the pivot support assembly (14). A support member or disconnect alignment assembly (50, 46) is secured to and extends between the horizontally extending pipe (36) and the inner end of the pipeline (34), and is adapted to maintain the inner end of the pipeline (34) in alignment with the horizontally extending pipe (36) when the elongated irrigation pipeline (34) is disconnected form the horizontally extending pipe (36). Regarding claims 12 and 14, the elongated irrigation pipeline (16) is comprised of a plurality of pipe sections (30 and 16).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis (5,435,495) in view of Reinke (3,936,117).

Davis shows all aspects f the applicant's invention as set forth in clams 1, 4 and 7, but does not disclose a flex joint provided on the horizontally extending pipe portion. However, Reinke shows a flex joint (30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to add the flex joint (30) of Reinke to the horizontally extending pipe (36) of Davis in order to adjust the irrigation system for elevation changes in the surrounding area.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reinke (3,608,826) shows a center pivot irrigation system including a flex joint and drive members. Ames (4,041,975) includes a flex joint and alignment control system for a center pivot irrigation system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason J. Boeckmann whose telephone number is (571) 272-2708. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 5:00 m-f, first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,136 Page 6

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JJB JJB 10/25/05

Davida. Scherbei Supervisory Patent Examine

Group 3700